

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 09/842,199 | 04/24/2001 | Cheung Auyeung | VWE-001-2 | 5415 |
| 22888 75 | 7590 05/04/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| BEVER HOFFMAN & HARMS, LLP | | | RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT | |
| TRI-VALLEY OFFICE 1432 CONCANNON BLVD., BLDG. G | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| LIVERMORE, CA 94550 | | 2613 | 7 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| v | 09/842,199 | AUYEUNG ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Andy S. Rao | 2613 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | orrespondence address | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vorce is the second of the s | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI and this communication, even if timely filed | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | epted or b) objected to by the for displaying (s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5-6. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | |

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2613

3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Piccinelli et al., (hereinafter referred to as "Piccinelli").

Piccinelli discloses a method of determining a motion vector for a macroblock of a present image from a previous image (Piccinelli: column 6, lines 54-60), the method comprising: selecting a first set of pixel blocks in the previous image (Piccinelli: column 12, lines 35-52); computing a first difference measure for each of the pixel blocks in the first set of pixel blocks to form a plurality of first pixel measures (Piccinelli: column 12, lines 24-33); determining a first closest matching pixel block having a lowest first difference measure (Piccinelli: column 12, lines 20-25); selecting a second set of pixel blocks in the previous image (Piccinelli: column 12, lines 35-52; column 7, lines 65-67; column 8, lines 1-32); computing a second difference measure for each of the pixel blocks in the second set of pixel blocks to form a plurality of second difference measures (Piccinelli: column 13, lines 1-13); computing a first accurate difference measure for the first closest matching pixel block, wherein the first accurate difference measure is a member of the plurality of accurate difference measures (Piccinelli: column 13, lines 40-55); computing a second accurate difference measure for the second matching pixel block, wherein the second accurate difference measure is a member of the plurality difference measures (Piccinelli: column 13, lines 40-55); selecting the first closest matching pixel block as a origin block when the first accurate difference measure is less than or equal to other members of the plurality of difference measures (Piccinelli: column 13, liners 20-35); selecting the second closest matching pixel block as a origin block when the second accurate difference measure is less than the first accurate difference measure and less than or equal to other members of the plurality of difference measures (Piccinelli: column 13, liners 20-35); computing the motion

Art Unit: 2613

vector using the origin block and the macroblock (Piccinelli: column 12, lines 1-10), as in claim

1.

Regarding claims 2-3, Piccinelli discloses selecting a third set of pixel blocks in the previous image (Piccinelli: column 12, lines 35-52); computing a third difference measure for each of the pixel blocks in the third set of pixel blocks to form a plurality of third pixel measures (Piccinelli: column 12, lines 24-33); determining a third closest matching pixel block having a lowest third difference measure (Piccinelli: column 12, lines 20-25); selecting a fourth set of pixel blocks in the previous image (Piccinelli: column 12, lines 35-52; column 7, lines 65-67; column 8, lines 1-32); computing a fourth difference measure for each of the pixel blocks in the fourth set of pixel blocks to form a plurality of fourth difference measures (Piccinelli: column 13, lines 1-13); computing a third accurate difference measure for the third closest matching pixel block, wherein the third accurate difference measure is a member of the plurality of accurate difference measures (Piccinelli: column 13, lines 40-55); computing a fourth accurate difference measure for the fourth matching pixel block, wherein the fourth accurate difference measure is a member of the plurality difference measures (Piccinelli: column 13, lines 40-55); selecting the third closest matching pixel block as a origin block when the third accurate difference measure is less than or equal to other members of the plurality of difference measures (Piccinelli: column 13, liners 20-35); selecting the fourth closest matching pixel block as a origin block when the fourth accurate difference measure is less than the, first, second, and third accurate difference measures and less than or equal to other members of the plurality of difference measures (Piccinelli: column 13, liners 20-35); computing the motion vector using the origin block and the macroblock (Piccinelli: column 12, lines 1-10), as in the claims.

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claims 4-8, Piccinelli Regarding claims 4-8, Piccinelli discloses computing an absolute difference between each pixel in both the closest matching pixel block and a predetermined pattern of pixels (Piccinelli: column 12, lines 57-63), as in the claims.

Regarding claim 9, Piccinelli discloses computing a squared difference between each pixel in both the closest matching pixel block and a predetermined pattern of pixels (Piccinelli: column 5, lines 62-67; column 6, lines 1-10), as in the claims.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Florent discloses an image processing method and apparatus. Rodriguez discloses ma motion estimation system and methods. De Haan discloses a method and unit for processing images. Rackett discloses a motion estimation algorithm.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy S. Rao whose telephone number is (703)-305-4813. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris S. Kelley can be reached on (703)-305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andy S. Rao

Primary Examiner PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2613

asr

April 30, 2004

Page 6

ANDYPAO